# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,889	06/25/2003	Hiroki Kobayashi	R2184.0239/P239 2305	
24998 DICK STEIN S	7590 09/07/2007 E STEIN SHAPIRO LLP			INER
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			NEWAY, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/602,889	KOBAYASHI, HIROKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel G. Neway	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ily 2007.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 14-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers .	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·	•			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This is in response to the Amendment filed on 11 July 2007.
- 2. Claims 14 18 are newly added. Claims 1 9 and 14 18 are pending and are considered below.

## Response to Amendment

- 3. The rejections of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C § 101 in the last Office Action have been withdrawn.
- 4. Claims 6 9 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C § 101 (see below).

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11 July 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the "Office Action contends that the set-up driver information meets the recited template, and the property information of Machida meets the scenario" and that Machida fails to disclose or suggest a template of a scenario.

However, Machida's property information actually reads on the data corresponding to the parameters of the template. What reads on the scenario is the updated driver, updated in accordance with the property information. The template of a scenario is represented by Machida's (not-yet-updated) driver.

Note that a template of a scenario, in light of the specification, is a file able to incorporate some data in order to produce another file (scenario). Similarly, Machida's

Art Unit: 2626

driver (template of a scenario) incorporates some data (property information) and produces an updated driver (scenario).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 6 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 6 – 9 are directed to a "processor readable medium" which, in accordance with Applicant's specification, may be "a transmission medium" (page 24, line 9-11). This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, a machine, a manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes a form of energy, which does not fall within a statutory category.

Amending the claims to recite a 'processor readable recording medium' would overcome this rejection in a manner consistent with Applicant's specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Art Unit: 2626

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1 – 9, and 14 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Machida (US PGPub 2002/0083131).

#### Claim 1:

Machida discloses a software-installing method for installing software in a computer so as to use one of a plurality of printing devices connected the computer through a network (see [0004]), the method comprising the steps of:

acquiring a template of a scenario which is a file describing procedures for installing the software, the template including parameters ("acquires driver setup information", [0051], see also FIG. 2, item s202);

acquiring data corresponding to the parameters ("property information list is transmitted to a pc", [0064] – [0066], see also FIG. 2, steps s204 - s206) by searching an installation database ("property information may be stored in a registry", [0053]), and wherein said searching is processed by said computer, based on said computer or a user of said computer ("driver information structure includes a PC name, ..., a user name, ...", [0052], FIG. 3 and related text);

and producing the scenario which is specific to said computer by incorporating the acquired data into the parameters of said template ("update the driver in accordance with the acquired property information", [0073], see FIG. 2, step s208 and FIG. 7, step s607).

#### Claim 2:

Art Unit: 2626

Machida discloses the software-installing method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a step of inputting the produced scenario to an installation program (see [0073], [0096]-[0097]).

#### Claim 3:

Machida discloses the software-installing method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a step of acquiring the data corresponding to the parameters from the installation database located outside said computer ("managed in a centralized fashion by the server device") (see [0074]).

#### Claim 4:

Machida discloses the software-installing method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a step of acquiring data regarding print setting information as data for said parameters from said installation database (see [0096]).

#### Claim 5:

Machida discloses a software-installing method for installing software computers so as to use a plurality of printing devices connected the computers through a network ([004]), the method comprising the steps of:

producing a template of a scenario which is a file describing procedures for installing the software, the template including parameters, and providing said template to each of said computers (see [0068] and figure 7, [0095] and figure 23);

and producing scenarios, specific to each of said computers, based on the produced template (see [0073], [0096]-[0097]).

#### Claim 6:

Art Unit: 2626

Machida discloses a processor readable medium storing program code means for causing a computer to install therein software to use one of a plurality of printing devices connected the computer through a network ([004]), the medium comprising:

program code means for acquiring a template of a scenario which is a file describing procedures for installing the software, the template including parameters ("acquires driver setup information", [0051], see also FIG. 2, item s202);

program code means for acquiring data corresponding to the parameters contained in the template ("property information list is transmitted to a pc", [0066], see also FIG. 2, item s206) by searching an installation database ("property information may be stored in a registry", [0053]), and wherein said searching is based on said computer or a user of said computer ("driver information structure includes a PC name, ..., a user name, ...", [0052], FIG. 3 and related text);

and program code means for producing the scenario which is specific to said computer by incorporating the acquired data into the parameters of said template ("update the driver in accordance with the acquired property information", [0073]).

#### Claim 7:

Machida discloses the processor readable medium as claimed in claim 6, further comprising program code means for inputting the produced scenario to an installation program (see [0073], [0096]-[0097]).

#### Claim 8:

Machida discloses the processor readable medium as claimed in claim 6, further comprising program code means for acquiring the data corresponding to the parameters

Art Unit: 2626

from the installation-database located outside said computer ("managed in a centralized fashion by the server device") (see [0074]).

Claim 9:

Machida discloses the processor readable medium as claimed in claim 6, further comprising program code means for acquiring data regarding print setting information as data for said parameters from said installation database (see [0096]).

Claims 14 – 18:

Claims 14 - 18 are similar is scope and content to claims 1 - 5 and are rejected with the same rationale.

#### Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Neway whose telephone number is 571-270-1058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID HUDSPETH

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER "